

**REMARKS**

Receipt of the Office Action of October 14, 2004 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 10 - 13 were re-examined and rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as indefinite, as anticipated under 35 USC 102(e) over Vaseil et al, and as unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) (claims 10, 12 and 13) over Vaseil et al.

A number of questions were raised by the examiner in the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. These are addressed below:

1) "A home information communication terminal unit" means that a home information communication terminal unit is provided for each contracted dwelling house, in which an indoor wiring network is laid.

2) "A supervisory server" means that the supervisory server judges whether the access from a service communication server to the home information communication terminal unit is admissible or not.

In the claims, it is described that "the supervisory server for judging allowance for access of said service communication server to said home information communication terminal unit when receiving access request from said service communication server, to allow said communication server to access to said home information communication terminal unit when identifying its authentication but to reject it when not identifying its authentication, . . . ". In the claims as now amended, "the supervisory server for allowing said communication server to access to said home information communication terminal unit when identifying its authentication but to reject it when not identifying its authentication, when receiving

access request from said service communication server, . . . " is recited..

3) "Information data" generally involves data, so that "information data" means data.

4) The subject of "and sending out . . ." which has been pointed out by the Examiner is "said home information communication terminal unit. It means the terminal unit having..., and sending out...

In the amended claims, it is noted at first that the terminal unit has a temporary unit and is connected to the indoor network, then two functions are described, and finally the data stored in the temporary memory is described.

5) In "the movement of a living facility, " the "living facility" is described as facility 11 in the specification and is used for appliances used in daily life. The object to be observed is the movement and operation of the living facility.

6) "and/or" has been deleted.


Claims 10 - 12 have been canceled, claim 13 amended, in view of the comments made above, and claims 14 - 16 added to complete the scope of protection needed.

These amendments to the claims obviate the rejections under 35 USC 112, 102 and 103.

In view of the foregoing, entry of the above amendments is respectfully requested and claims 13 - 16 found allowable.

Date: January 14, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Felix J. D'Ambrosio  
Reg. No. 25,721

**Customer Number \*23364\***  
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC  
625 Slaters Lane, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Alexandria, VA 22314 - 1176  
(703) 683-0500

S:\Producers\jd\CLIENTS\Kyomei Int'l Patent & TM Office\WISE3001\Jan 14 2005 response.wpd